Prediction of planar uniaxial and constrained biaxial state of deformation by commonly used anisotropic constitutive models in arterial mechanics
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Abstract

In this paper is investigated mechanical response of tissue strips under planar simple tension and constrained biaxial tension (strain behavior by Humprey) for a representative selection of two- and three-dimensional anisotropic strain energy functions, commonly used in arterial mechanics: Fung’s 2D and 3D models, logarithmic, polynomial and exponential Choi and Vito 2D models, and structural exponential 3D model for artery layers. It has shown that all these models have limitations in capability of describing the considered states of deformation. By using material parameters from literature it was found that there are a considerable number of cases where unrealistic material response might be predicted, if the parameters are outside of the range for which fitting process was performed. In order to avoid instability of computed material response, we suggest that uniaxial loading conditions should be considered, together with constrained biaxial tension, in experimental investigations for establishing new material model or fitting constants of a selected model.
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1. Introduction

Besides laboratory and clinical investigations of mechanical behavior of cardiovascular system in physiologically normal and extreme conditions, and related to cardiovascular diseases which are overall dominant in today’s world, it is becoming increasingly important and useful to have also appropriate computer simulations. One of the central elements in a valuable computer simulation is to have the adequate mathematical description of a blood vessel material response under various loading conditions. This description is termed as a material law, or constitutive law - represented through stress-strain (or stress-stretch) relationships. In the computational mechanics terminology, the constitutive laws are called material models. We here focus on anisotropic material models used for arterials walls.
Formulation of mechanical models, which adequately describe nonlinear anisotropic mechanical behavior of arterial walls, has been the subject of research of many investigators. Simple tension and equibiaxial inflation tests are generally used for isotropic biological membranes (Hildebrandt et al. 1969), while the anisotropic behavior of blood vessels - where biaxial conditions are common, is investigated by biaxial tension or inflation test of arteries. The models should be suitable for applications within computational methods in the simulations, such as the finite element (FE) method, i.e. they should be simple and reliable within the anticipated range of deformation conditions. Reliability means that a mechanical model will not lead to a response which is unrealistic even under extreme straining or loading.

In this paper is presented an analysis of the conditions which material constants of a material model must satisfy in order to predict physically realistic material response when subjected to simple tension and constrained biaxial tension. It is of interest to have anisotropic models which give physically acceptable response under uniaxial loading despite the fact that uniaxial loading tests of soft tissue strips are not sufficient for the determination of multidimensional material models. To the authors’ knowledge, the issue of prediction of anisotropic membrane behavior under uniaxial loading, when anisotropic strain energy functions are employed, has not been addressed in literature except in (Holzapfel 2006) where a method was proposed for determination of material models from uniaxial tests and histostructural data including fiber orientation of tissue.

In Section 2 are summarized the relevant equations that describe in-plane response of an incompressible anisotropic hyperelastic material (Holzapfel and Ogden 2008) and the special cases of simple tension, equibiaxial tension and constrained biaxial tension. The last case assumes restrained deformation in one direction of arterial strip during its extension in the opposite direction (Humprey 1999).

In section 3 are considered several two-dimensional models: exponential strain energy function (SEF) (Fung et al. 1979), logarithmic SEF (Takamizawa and Hayashi 1987), and polynomial SEF (Vaishnav et al. 1972). This presentation includes a typical reliability analysis of material models for arterial walls given in (Humprey 1999).

In section 4 first is performed similar analyses for one phenomenological three-dimensional model - Fung’s exponential SEF (Choung and Fung 1983). Then, it is studied a structural three-dimensional SEF for artery layers introduced in (Holzapfel et al. 2000), where the layers are treated as composites reinforced by two families of (collagen) fibers.

For all considered models it is investigated prediction of physically realistic material response of both planar uniaxial simple tension and constrained biaxial tension. By inspection of the fitted material parameters from literature, it is shown that in a number of cases the parameters, used outside the range for which the fitting process was performed, might induce unrealistic material response. This result is due to the fact that unconstrained optimization processes were performed during the fitting material parameters of the strain energy functions.

2. In-Plane response of an anisotropic material

For a hyperelastic incompressible material considered here, there exists a strain energy function (SEF) \( \psi \) (defined per unit volume) in terms of the Green-Lagrange strain tensor \( \mathbf{E} = (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{I})/2 \) so that the second Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor \( \mathbf{S} \) takes the form (Holzapfel and Ogden 2008, Holzapfel 2007)
\[ S = -p C^{-1} + \frac{\partial \psi(E)}{\partial E}, \]  

where the inverse Cauchy-Green tensor \( C^{-1} = F^{-1} F^{T} \) is defined with respect to the deformation gradient \( F \); \( p \) is a Lagrange multiplier which represents a hydrostatic pressure, associated with the incompressibility constraint

\[ \det(C) = 1. \]

The components of the symmetric right Cauchy-Green tensor \( C \) for planar biaxial deformations are

\[
[C] = \begin{bmatrix}
C_{11} & C_{12} & 0 \\
C_{12} & C_{22} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & C_{33}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

while the incompressibility constraint becomes

\[ C_{33} = (C_{11}C_{22} - C_{12}^2)^{-1}. \]

Plane stress state is defined with \( S_{13} = S_{23} = S_{33} = 0 \) and a strain energy function \( \psi \) for planar biaxial deformations, only depends on \( E_{11}, E_{22}, E_{12} \) and \( E_{33} \), so that

\[ \psi(E) = \psi(E_{11}, E_{22}, E_{12}, E_{33}), \]

From (1) and (3) one obtains stresses for the considered planar biaxial state as

\[ S_{11} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial E_{11}} - C_{22}C_{33}^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial E_{33}}, \]
\[ S_{22} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial E_{22}} - C_{12}C_{33}^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial E_{33}}, \]
\[ S_{12} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial E_{12}} + C_{12}C_{33}^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial E_{33}}. \]

According to incompressibility constraint (4), only three of the four components \( E_{11}, E_{22}, E_{12} \) and \( E_{33} \) are independent and one may introduce a reduced strain energy function \( \hat{\psi} \) as

\[ \hat{\psi}(E_{11}, E_{22}, E_{12}) = \psi[E_{11}, E_{22}, E_{12}, E_{33}(E_{11}, E_{22}, E_{12})], \]

which leads to the stresses for the planar specialization of a three-dimensional strain energy function:

\[ S_{11} = \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}}{\partial E_{11}}, \quad S_{22} = \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}}{\partial E_{22}}, \quad S_{12} = \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}}{\partial E_{12}} - C_{12}C_{33}^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial E_{33}}. \]

In a two dimensional theory, which is commonly used in arterial mechanics, in which \( \hat{\psi} \) does not depend on \( E_{33} \), corresponding two-dimensional stresses are given by

\[ S_{11} = \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}}{\partial E_{11}}, \quad S_{22} = \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}}{\partial E_{22}}, \quad S_{12} = \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}}{\partial E_{12}}. \]
In the case of homogenous deformation, we have that in the principal directions of strain deformation tensor \( C_{ij} = 0 \), \( C_{ii} = \lambda_i^2 \), \( i = 1, 2, 3 \), where \( \lambda_i \) are the principal stretches, and incompressibility constraint (4) is replaced by

\[
\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 = 1. \tag{10}
\]

From relations (6) which represent the in-plane response of an anisotropic material, we obtain the stresses in principal directions of strains

\[
S_{11} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial E_1} - \frac{\lambda_2^2 \partial \psi}{\lambda_1 \partial E_3}, \quad S_{22} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial E_2} - \frac{\lambda_1^2 \partial \psi}{\lambda_2 \partial E_3} \tag{11}
\]

while for the stresses for the planar specialization of a three-dimensional strain energy function (7) and for two-dimensional theory, one simple have

\[
S_{11} = \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}}{\partial E_1}, \quad S_{22} = \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}}{\partial E_2} \tag{12}
\]

or in terms of Cauchy stresses

\[
\sigma_{11} = \lambda_1 \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}}{\partial \lambda_1}, \quad \sigma_{22} = \lambda_2 \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}}{\partial \lambda_2}, \tag{13}
\]

where principal Green-Lagrange strains are defined by \( E_i = (\lambda_i^2 - 1)/2 \), \( i = 1, 2, 3 \). Also directions 1 and 2 will be called the in-plane directions. Relations (11) – (13) are valid for standard planar biaxial tests in the absence of shear and these equations, unlike the isotropic case, are not (in general) symmetric in \((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)\) or \((E_1, E_2)\) (Holzapfel and Ogden 2008).

(i) Simple tension

For a simple uniaxial tension of an arterial wall strip material in the first principal direction of strains, one should set \( S_{22} = 0 \) in equation (11) or (12), or \( \sigma_{22} = 0 \) in (13) and obtain the uniaxial extension path \( E_2 = E_2(E_1 \equiv E) \). Here, \( E = 0.5(\lambda^2 - 1) \) is the strain in the direction of tension, and \( \lambda \) is the stretch in that direction.

For a simple uniaxial tension in the orthogonal direction one should set \( S_{11} = 0 \) in equation (11) or (12), or \( \sigma_{11} = 0 \) in (13), and obtain the uniaxial extension path \( E_1 = E_1(E_2 \equiv E) \).

(ii) Equibiaxial tension

For the equibiaxial tension one has \( E_1 = E_2 \equiv E \), where \( E = 0.5(\lambda^2 - 1) \) is the strain in each direction of tension, and \( \lambda \) is the stretch in these directions. Since the considered materials are anisotropic, stresses in the principal directions of deformations are not equal, i.e. \( S_{11} \neq S_{22} \).

(iii) Constrained biaxial tension

A special case of biaxial tension, where the deformation in one direction during stretching is constrained, was introduced in (Humprey 1999) as a method to analyze if material parameters of strain energy function are physically realistic. The material response under these conditions is also referred as the strain behavior by Humprey.
There are two cases of this biaxial test. First, if \( E_1 > 0 \) (\( \lambda_1 > 1 \)) and \( E_2 = 0 \) (\( \lambda_2 = 1 \)), there is no deformation in direction 2; and second, \( E_2 > 0 \) (\( \lambda_2 > 1 \)) and \( E_1 = 0 \) (\( \lambda_1 = 1 \)), with no deformation in direction 1.

3. Two-Dimensional models

Here will be analyzed several 2D models where the plane stress (membrane) state is assumed, with the strain energy function (SEF) expressed in terms of the principal in-plane Green-Lagrange strains.

3.1. Strain-Energy Function of Fung’s type

Two-dimensional exponential form of SEF introduced in (Fung et al. 1972) is the most extensively used function in arterial mechanics. This function is given by

\[
\hat{\psi} = \frac{c}{2} \left[ \exp(\hat{Q}) - 1 \right], \quad \hat{Q} = a_1 E_1^2 + a_2 E_2^2 + 2a_4 E_1 E_2
\]

(14)

where \( c > 0 \) is a stress-like material parameter; \( a_1, a_2, a_4 \) are non-dimensional parameters; \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) are components of the Green-Lagrange strain tensor in the circumferential \( (\theta) \) and axial directions \( (z) \), respectively; we use the notation \( (1, 2, 3) = (\theta, z, r) \). The Piola-Kirchhoff stresses follow from (12):

\[
S_1 = c(a_1 E_1 + a_4 E_2) \exp(\hat{Q}), \quad S_2 = c(a_4 E_1 + a_2 E_2) \exp(\hat{Q})
\]

(15)

In the case of constrained biaxial stretching, it was shown in (Humprey 1999) that the constants \( a_1, a_2 \) and \( a_4 \) must be positive in order to have tensional stresses in the material. On the other hand, it was shown in (Holzapfel et al. 2000) that the potential (14) is locally convex if and only if \( c, a_1, a_2, a_4 > 0 \) and

\[
a_1 a_2 > a_4^2.
\]

(16)

For the simple uniaxial tension, the lateral stress is equal to zero and from (15) follows that the lateral strains are:

\[
E_2 = -\frac{a_4}{a_2} E, \quad E_1 = -\frac{a_4}{a_1} E
\]

(17)

under tension in the directions 1 (circumferential) and 2 (axial), respectively. Here, \( E = 0.5(\lambda^2 - 1) \) is the strain in the direction of tension, and \( \lambda \) is the stretch in that direction. It can be seen that the in-plane lateral strain is negative for positive material constants \( a_1, a_2 \) and \( a_4 \), which are the same conditions for the constants as in the case of constrained biaxial tension noted above.

For several ratios \( a_4 / a_4 \), \( a = 1, 2 \), Fig. 1 shows the dependence of lateral strain with respect to the strain in axial direction \( E \) according to (17). For the high ratios \( a_4 / a_4 \) the two-dimensional Fung potential (14) predicts very small, i.e. unrealistic strain in the lateral direction. For example, when \( a_4 / a_4 = 25 \), for deformation of 75 % in loading direction the potential predicts contraction of only 3.5 % in lateral direction (in isotropic case the
corresponding contraction is almost seven times larger, about 22.5 %), while for \( a_s / a_t \) about 100 - the lateral contraction is below 1 %.

![Graph showing dependence of lateral strain on axial strain](image)

**Fig. 1.** Dependence of the lateral Green-Lagrange strain \( \varepsilon_a \), \( a = 1, 2 \) on the strain in the loading direction \( \varepsilon \) during uniaxial tension for Fung’s two-dimensional exponential SEF (14). Isotropic path is represented by dashed line.

The tensional Piola-Kirchhoff stresses are

\[
S_{\text{uni}}^{\varepsilon_a} = E \left(1 - \frac{a_t^2}{a_i a_s}\right) \exp \left[a_t \left(1 - \frac{a_t^2}{a_i a_s}\right) \varepsilon_a^2\right], \quad a = 1, 2 \quad \text{(no sum on } a) \tag{18}
\]

for tension in the directions 1 and 2. The condition \( S_{\text{uni}}^{\varepsilon_a} > 0 \) leads to the convexity condition (16), i.e. if the values of material constants do not satisfy this condition there is no tensional stresses under simple uniaxial tension.

Material constants in (Fung et al. 1972) were determined by using the membrane assumption for inflation tests of artery specimens and 19 sets of material constants were obtained, which all satisfied the convexity condition (16). But, in 5 cases, for experiments denoted as 71:1, 71:2, 81:1, 81:2 and 81:3 one has \( a_i / a_t > 25 \) so that the potential (14) predicts an unrealistic contraction of specimen in direction 2.

In (Choung and Fung 1983) were evaluated 18 sets of material constants assuming 3D stress state for the same inflation experiments. Here, the SEF is not convex for 7 among these 18 cases (experiments denoted as 72, 72:1, 72:2, 81:2, 81:3, 82:2, 82:3) which means that Fung’s two-dimensional potential (14) predicts unrealistic stresses during uniaxial extension. In Table 1 are given values of ratio \( a_i / a_t \), \( a = 1, 2 \) for the remaining 11 cases. Predicted contraction is not realistic (\( a_i / a_t > 25 \)) for 5 cases, for experiments denoted as 71, 71:1, 71:2, 78:1 and 81.

In (Takamizawa and Hayashi 1987) 16 sets of material constants were determined for Fung’s two-dimensional SEF (14) and material constant \( a_i \) is negative in 5 cases, which means that the lateral strains (17) are increasing during uniaxial extension and that stresses are not tensional in the case of constrained biaxial tension (strain behavior by Humphrey).
Table 1. Values of ratios $a_1/a_4$ and $a_2/a_4$ for 11 material sets among 18 from (Choung and Fung 1983) for which Fung’s two-dimensional strain energy function (14) is convex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp.</th>
<th>71</th>
<th>71:1</th>
<th>71:2</th>
<th>78</th>
<th>78:1</th>
<th>78:2</th>
<th>78:3</th>
<th>81</th>
<th>81:1</th>
<th>82</th>
<th>82:1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a_1/a_4$</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_2/a_4$</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Strain-Energy Function Proposed by Takamizawa and Hayashi

A two-dimensional SEF proposed in (Takamizawa and Hayashi 1987) has a logarithmic form

$$\psi = -C \ln \left[ 1 - \hat{Q} \right], \quad \hat{Q} = a_4 E_1^2 + a_2 E_2^2 + 2 a_4 E_1 E_2,$$

(19)

where $C > 0$ is a stress-like material parameter; $a_1, a_2, a_4$ are non-dimensional parameters, with the notation as for the above Fung’s model. The in-plane stresses for this model follow from (12):

$$S_1 = 2C(a_1 E_1 + a_4 E_2) \frac{1}{1 - \hat{Q}}, \quad S_2 = 2C(a_2 E_1 + a_2 E_2) \frac{1}{1 - \hat{Q}}.$$

(20)

This model was analyzed in (Humprey 1999) assuming constrained biaxial tension, with a conclusion that is not possible to find general conditions for material constants, as for Fung’s model, which provides positive stresses. However, the potential (19) is defined for $1 - \hat{Q} > 0$ and from this condition follows that all material constants must be positive, just the same condition as for Fung’s model.

In the case of uniaxial loading, from the condition of zero lateral stress and expressions (20) follow the relations (17) for extensional paths. The uniaxial second Piola-Kirchhoff stress is

$$S_{uni}^a = 2Ca_a \left( 1 - \frac{a_4^2}{a_1a_2} \right) E \frac{1}{1 - a_a \left( 1 - \frac{a_4^2}{a_1a_2} \right) E^2}, \quad a = 1, 2 \text{ (no sum on } a \text{).}$$

(21)

In order to have positive uniaxial stress, the convexity condition (16) must be satisfied. Note that the strain energy function tends to infinity, as well as the stresses, when $\hat{Q} \to 1$ (Holzapfel et al. 2000) which represents an additional restriction with respect to Fung’s model. Hence the model is only applicable for a limited range of states of deformation. Moreover, this strain energy function is convex under the same conditions (14).

Material constants for this model are given in (Takamizawa and Hayashi 1987), obtained from experiments on a dog carotid artery during inflation experiments, considering the artery as a thick-walled cylinder; and using two hypotheses: 1) the uniform strain hypothesis, and 2) the zero stress state in the reference configuration. By inspecting the constants it can be found that $a_a < 0$ for one case when the zero initial stress hypothesis is used, and for 4 cases when the uniform strain hypothesis is used.
3.3. Strain-Energy Function Proposed by Choi and Vito

Choi and Vito (Choi and Vito 1990) proposed a form of the exponential SEF (for canine pericardium) as

$$\hat{\psi} = b_0 \left\{ \exp(0.5b_1E_1^2) + \exp(0.5b_2E_2^2) + \exp(b_3E_3) - 3 \right\}, \quad (22)$$

where the constant $b_0 > 0$ has the dimension of stress, while the other constants are dimensionless; the axes and notation for the Green-Lagrange strains are as for the above models.

The main advantage of this model with respect to Fung’s model is that it is suitable for description of material behavior with very pronounced hardening characteristic. It has been applied to modeling of hyperelastic orthotropic membranes, as in either the case of abdominal aorta aneurism (Vande Geest et al. 2006), or natural and chemically treated pericardium (Choi and Vito 1990, Sacks and Choung 1998). We further demonstrate that this model can lead to an increase of lateral in-plane dimension under uniaxial loading.

The principal Piola-Kirchhoff in-plane stresses are now:

$$S_1 = b_0 [b_1 E_1 \exp(0.5b_2 E_1^2) + b_2 E_2 \exp(b_3 E_3)],$$

$$S_2 = b_0 [b_1 E_1 \exp(b_3 E_3) + b_2 E_2 \exp(0.5b_2 E_2^2)]. \quad (23)$$

It was shown (Vande Geest et al. 2006) that all material constants must be positive in order to have positive stresses under constrained biaxial tension (strain behavior by Humphrey).

In the case of uniaxial loading, the lateral in-plane Green-Lagrange strain cannot be expressed in an analytical form and it must be numerically determined from the condition either $S_2 = 0$ or $S_1 = 0$ for a given strain $E$ in the loading direction. If we denote the lateral in-plane strain by $E_a$ ($a = 2$ for loading in direction 1, and $a = 1$ for loading in direction 2), then the zero lateral stress is expressed by the equation:

$$b_a E_a \exp(0.5b_a^2 E_a^2) + b_3 E \exp(b_3 E_a) = 0, \quad a = 2,1 \text{ (no sum on } a). \quad (24)$$

Note that from this equation follows that the lateral strain is always less than zero, i.e. $E_a \leq 0$, since the coefficients $b_1$, $b_2$ and $b_3$ and the strain $E$ are positive.

The extreme value of $E_a$ corresponds to the zero derivative, $dE_a/dE = 0$, and from (24) it occurs when $E = -1/(b_3 E_a)$. Substituting this value into (24) and introducing a variable $z = 0.5b_a^2 E_a^2$, we obtain the equation

$$\ln(2z) + z + 1 = 0$$

which yields the solution $z = 0.157185$, and then

$$E_a^{cr} = \frac{1}{b_3} \sqrt{\frac{b_2}{0.31437}}, \quad E_2^{cr} = \frac{1}{b_3} \sqrt{\frac{b_3}{0.31437}} \quad (26)$$

where $E_1^{cr}$ and $E_2^{cr}$ are the critical values of strain in the loading directions. For the values of uniaxial strain $E < E^{cr}$ the lateral strain decreases, reaches minimum for $E = E^{cr}$, and then increases. This type of extensional paths during simple tension is physically unrealistic because strain in lateral direction should decrease monotonically.
Table 2. Critical strains, calculated from (26), for uniaxial loading of dog canine pericardium. Model is defined by the two-dimensional exponential SEF (22) and constants obtained by fitting biaxial experiments (Choi and Vito 1990)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dog #</th>
<th>#01</th>
<th>#04</th>
<th>#06</th>
<th>#08</th>
<th>#09</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E_1^{cr}$</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_2^{cr}$</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We further analyze in detail whether the sets of material constants, obtained by fitting results of biaxial experiments, give physically realistic response of material when subjected to uniaxial loading. In Table 2 are given critical uniaxial strains $E_1^{cr}$ and $E_2^{cr}$ calculated from material constants obtained by 5 experiments on dog canine pericardium (Choi HS and Vito RP, 1990). It can be seen that $E_1^{cr}$ and $E_2^{cr}$ are in the range of the strains reached in standard biaxial tests (strains in experiments were up to 0.5). Therefore, using these constants, the model specified by the SEF (22) will give the lateral strain which is increasing when the uniaxial strain is above the critical values (26). This lateral strain increase cannot physically be justified.

Figure 2 shows the dependence of lateral strain (either $E_2$ or $E_1$) in terms of the uniaxial strain $E$, for loading in directions 1 and 2, and for the specimen #06 of Table 2. It can be seen that when loading is in direction 1, we have that the lateral strain $E_2$ decreases, reaches minimum at $E = E_2^{cr} = 0.23$, and then increases. On the other hand, for loading in the direction 2, the lateral stretch $E_1$ decreases and reaches the minimum at $E = E_1^{cr} = 0.12$. For comparison,
the isotropic curve is also shown in the figure. The sets of material constants of other experiments lead to the results similar to these for the specimen #06.

We also give comments on material constants of the SEF (22) given in (Vande Geest et al. 2006), which are obtained by biaxial tests of squared specimen of Human Abdominal Aortic Aneurism (AAA). The 26 sets of material constants were inspected and we found that the critical strain for uniaxial loading lies in the range of the strains achieved in biaxial experiments for all sets of material constants, except for the specimen number 3.

3.4. Polynomial Strain-Energy Function Proposed by Vaishnav et al.

Vaishnav et al. (Vaishnav et al. 1972) proposed a 2D the strain energy function in a polynomial form for modeling the canine thoracic aorta:

$$\psi = c_1 E_1^2 + c_2 E_1 E_2 + c_3 E_2^2 + c_4 E_1^3 + c_5 E_1^2 E_2 + c_6 E_1 E_2^2 + c_7 E_2^3,$$

where the material constants $c_i$, $i = 1, 2, 7$ have the dimension of stress. The Piola-Kirchhoff stresses follow from (12)

$$S_1 = 2c_1 E_1 + c_2 E_2 + 3c_4 E_1^2 + 2c_5 E_1 E_2 + c_6 E_2^2,$$
$$S_2 = c_2 E_1 + 2c_3 E_2 + c_7 E_2^2 + 2c_6 E_1 E_2 + 3c_7 E_2^3.$$

Under constrained biaxial stretching, the stresses are:

$$S_1 = 2c_1 E_1 + 3c_4 E_1^2,$$
$$S_2 = c_2 E_1 + c_7 E_2^2,$$
$$S_1 = c_2 E_2 + c_6 E_2^2,$$
$$S_2 = 2c_3 E_2 + 3c_7 E_2^2.$$

By analysis of the above relations it can be seen that all material constants must be positive for this case of biaxial loading in order to have tensional stresses. However, none of the sets of material constants given in (Vaishnav et al. 1972) and (Fung et al. 1979) satisfy these conditions, although the model (27) (for the fitted constants) show the material behavior prediction which agrees with the inflation experiments.

We illustrate our findings in Fig. 3. The constants used here are from Experiment 71 in (Fung et al. 1979), and are given in (kPa): $c_1 = -24.385$, $c_2 = -3.589$, $c_3 = -1.982$, $c_4 = 46.334$, $c_5 = 32.321$, $c_6 = 3.743$, $c_7 = 3.266$. It can be seen that the stresses are negative at the start of loading, Fig. 3a; and that the stresses are negative and small when straining is in the direction 2 (axial direction), Fig. 3b.

It was emphasized in (Holzapfel et al. 2000) that the polynomial SEF (27) is not convex due to its cubic character. This character has a direct implication to adequate modeling of uniaxial loading. Since the conditions for uniaxial loading cannot be obtained in analytical form, we numerically investigated these conditions and found that all 27 sets of material constants given in (Fung et al. 1979), and 3 sets in (Vaishnav et al. 1972) do not provide adequate modeling of uniaxial conditions (in the sense of the above discussion).
4. Three-Dimensional models

Here, we consider 3D models with the strain energy function expressed in terms of the three principal Green-Lagrange strains, or in terms of the invariants specified below. Among these models, we analyze two-phenomenological Fung’s exponential model (Choung and Fung 1983), and the structural exponential model for artery layers (Holzapfel et al. 2000). As in Section 3, we investigated if the considered three-dimensional models predict physically realistic material response under the simple tension and constrained biaxial tension.

4.1. Strain-Energy Function of Fung’s type

A generalization of the model (14) to a three-dimensional regime (Choung and Fung 1983) assumes that the principal directions of the stress tensor coincide with circumferential, axial and radial directions of the artery (Holzapfel et al. 2000), labeled as the axes 1, 2 and 3, respectively. The strain energy function is given by

\[
\psi = \frac{c}{2} \left\{ \exp(Q) - 1 \right\}
\]

(31)

where \( c \) is stress-like material parameter and \( b_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, 6 \) are non-dimensional material parameters.

The non-zero principal Piola-Kirchhoff stress components for a biaxial membrane stress state follow from (11):
where the stretch $\lambda_3 = (\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{-1}$ follow from the incompressibility condition (10).

In the case of uniaxial loading, the condition that the in-plane lateral stress is equal to zero can be expressed by the equation

$$p_4 y^4 + p_3 y^3 + p_1 y + p_0 = 0$$

where $y = \lambda_\alpha^2$ is the squared stretch in the lateral direction. The coefficient $p_0$ is

$$p_0 = -b_2 \lambda^{-4}$$

while $p_k$, $k = 1, 2, 3$ for uniaxial loading in direction 1 are

$$p_1 = (b_3 + b_6) \lambda^{-2} - b_6, \quad p_3 = b_4 (\lambda^2 - 1) - b_2 - b_3, \quad p_4 = b_2,$$

and for uniaxial loading in direction 2, the coefficients are

$$p_1 = (b_3 + b_6) \lambda^{-2} - b_3, \quad p_3 = b_4 (\lambda^2 - 1) - b_1 - b_6, \quad p_4 = b_1.$$

It is in general possible that, for a certain set of material constants, there can be a case when the solutions of equation (33) are not real, or that the real solution is out of the acceptable range of stretch (0,1), or that the lateral stretch is increasing during uniaxial tension.

We do not show here a graphical interpretation of biaxial or uniaxial loading, but summarize the results of the analysis of constrained biaxial and simple uniaxial tests. We have found that among 18 material sets, in 7 cases the stresses are negative under uniaxial loading (protocols: 72, 72:1, 72:2, 78:1, 82, 82:1, 82:3) and for 1 case in the constrained biaxial loading (protocol 82:2). Also stresses are close to zero in 3 cases for constrained biaxial loading (protocols 71:2, 72:1, 82:1).

4.2. Structural Strain Energy Function for the Artery Layers (Holzapfel et al, 2000)

The SEF introduced in (Holzapfel et al. 2000) describes a constitutive model which incorporates some histological structure of arterial walls (i.e. includes fiber directions) and consider each layer of the artery as a fiber-reinforced composite.

The basic idea of this model consists in the additive split of $\psi$ into a part $\psi_{iso}$, associated with isotropic deformations, and a part $\psi_{aniso}$ associated with anisotropic deformations. Hence, the potential is written as

$$\psi = \psi_{iso} + \psi_{aniso}.$$  (37)

The neo-Hookean model was used to determine the isotropic response of non-collagenous matrix material, so that $\psi_{iso}$ is given as

$$\psi_{iso}(I_1) = \frac{c}{2} (I_1 - 3)$$  (38)

where $c > 0$ is a stress-like material parameter, $I_1 = \text{tr} \mathbf{C}$ is the first invariant of the symmetric right Cauchy-Green deformation tensor $\mathbf{C}$. For the description of strain energy stored in
collagen fibers which represents the part $\psi_{\text{aniso}}$, the following expression was used in (Holzapfel et al. 2000):

$$\psi_{\text{aniso}}(I_4, I_6) = \frac{k_1}{2k_2} \sum \{\exp[k_2(I_a - 1)^2] - 1\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)

where $I_4$ and $I_6$ are the pseudo-invariants (Holzapfel 2007); $k_1 > 0$ is a stress-like material parameter, and $k_2 > 0$ is a dimensionless material parameter. The anisotropic term $\psi_{\text{aniso}}$ contributes only when the fibers are extended, i.e. when either $I_4 > 1$ or $I_6 > 1$, or both. The above form of the SEF is used for two layers of an artery, i.e. for media and adventitia, with different sets of material constants.

According to the authors, “an appropriate choice of $k_1$ and $k_2$ enables the histologically-based assumption that the collagen fibers do not influence the mechanical response of the artery in the low pressure domain to be modeled”. On the other hand, we will further show that under physiological domain of strains, when stretches $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 1$, the fibers are always stretched; while in the case of simple planar uniaxial tension, the value of stretch when the collagen fibers are activated, depends only on the angle $\phi$ of the fibers with respect to circumferential direction of the artery.

For a general biaxial deformation on a thin planar specimen excised from the arterial wall, which yields a plane state of stress, we have

$$I_4 = I_6 = \lambda_1^2 \cos^2 \phi + \lambda_2^2 \sin^2 \phi.$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

Since the model is symmetric with respect to interchange of $I_4$ and $I_6$ and principal axes of stresses and deformations coincide (Ogden 2003), the principal Piola-Kirchhoff stresses for the model (37) follows from (13), by using $S_a = \hat{\lambda}_a^2 \sigma_a$:

$$S_1 = c(1 - \lambda_1^{-2} \lambda_2^{-2}) + 4k_1 \cos^2 \phi(I_4 - 1) \exp[k_2(I_4 - 1)^2]$$

$$S_2 = c(1 - \lambda_1^{-2} \lambda_2^{-2}) + 4k_1 \sin^2 \phi(I_4 - 1) \exp[k_2(I_4 - 1)^2]$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

where the anisotropic terms only contribute when the fibers are extended, i.e. when $I_4 = I_6 > 1$. General relations for the stresses in case of hyperelastic matrix reinforced by two families of fibers are given in (Ogden 2003).

Analyzing the expressions for stresses (40) in the case of simple planar uniaxial tension, we can reach the following conclusions. When the fibers are stretched, the anisotropic part of stress is positive, and in order to have zero lateral stress, the isotropic part of the lateral stress must be negative. This is possible only if the following condition between lateral stretch $\lambda_a$ and the stretch in the loading direction $\hat{\lambda}$ is fulfilled:

$$\lambda_a < \hat{\lambda}^{-1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

where $a = 2$ and $a = 1$ for loading in directions 1 and 2, respectively. This result agrees well with experimental findings in (Holzapfel 2006).

Next it is determined the stretch at which the collagen fibers start to be extended under uniaxial loading. According to the above discussion, in order to have positive anisotropic part of stress, it must be $I_4 = I_6 > 1$, hence the start of fiber extension corresponds to $I_4 = I_6 = 1$. During isotropic part of loading we have that the lateral stretch is $\hat{\lambda}^{-1/2}$. Hence, substituting
first $\lambda_1 = \lambda$ and $\lambda_2 = \lambda^{-1/2}$ into equation (40) we obtain the stretch $\lambda_1^{\text{aniso}}$, at the point at which $I_4 = I_6 = 1$, as follows:

$$\lambda_1^{\text{aniso}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{1 + 4 \tan^2 \varphi} - 1 \right).$$

(43)

For the uniaxial extension in direction 2, it will be $\lambda_2 = \lambda$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda^{-1/2}$. From that and relation (40) we obtain the stretch $\lambda_2^{\text{aniso}}$ at the point at which is $I_4 = I_6 = 1$,

$$\lambda_2^{\text{aniso}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{1 + 4 / \tan^2 \varphi} - 1 \right).$$

(44)

Therefore, the values of stretches in direction of uniaxial loading at which a fiber begins to extend, $\lambda_1^{\text{aniso}}$ and $\lambda_2^{\text{aniso}}$, depend on the angle $\varphi$ only, but not on the material constants. Graphical representation of functions $\lambda_1^{\text{aniso}}(\varphi)$ and $\lambda_2^{\text{aniso}}(\varphi)$ is shown in Fig. 4.
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**Fig. 4.** Stretch at which the extension of fibers starts during simple planar uniaxial tension, in terms of the fiber angle $\varphi$. The structural SEF is introduced in (Holzapfel et al. 2000)

It can be seen that at uniaxial loading in the direction 1 and for $\varphi \in (0, 54.7^\circ)$ stretch of fibers increases from the start of loading because $\lambda_1^{\text{aniso}}(\varphi) < 1$, while for $\varphi > 54.7^\circ$ the isotropic response occurs first, and the anisotropic response starts at stretch determined by equation (43). On the other hand, at uniaxial loading in direction 2, stretch of fibers starts from the beginning of loading for $\varphi \in (35.3^\circ, 90^\circ)$, while for $\varphi < 35.3^\circ$ the deformation is first isotropic and changes to anisotropic at stretch determined by the expression (44).
Experimental extensional paths for adventitial layer of human aorta, consisting of nearly isotropic, transitional and anisotropic parts, are presented in (Holzapfel 2006). It has been shown here that the structural model for artery layers (Holzapfel et al. 2000) can predict the observed extensional paths for simple tension of tissue strip for limited range of fiber angles, i.e. for loading in direction 1 for $\phi > 54.7^\circ$ and for $\phi < 35.3^\circ$ when simple tension is in direction 2.

Further, we note that for any biaxial straining when $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 1$, i.e. in physiological strain domain which covers the cyclic inflation and axial extension of an artery (Holzapfel 2006), we have from (40) that $I_4 = I_6 \geq 1$, with no dependence on the fiber angle $\phi$; hence, the fibers are under stretch from the start of straining. This and the above uniaxial case represent the exceptions from the basic hypothesis in (Holzapfel et al. 2000) that by selecting the material constants $k_1$ and $k_2$ it is possible to interpret the (histologically-based) assumption that collagen fibers do not affect the mechanical response of tissue in the low pressure domain.

In the case of constrained biaxial loading when $\lambda_2 = 1$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda$, from (40) we obtain $I_4 - 1 = (\lambda^2 - 1)\cos^2 \phi$, and the stresses (41) are now

$$S_1 = c(1 - \lambda^{-4}) + 4k_1 \cos^4 \phi(\lambda^2 - 1)\exp[k_2 \cos^4 \phi(\lambda^2 - 1)^2],$$
$$S_2 = c(1 - \lambda^{-2}) + k_1 \sin^2(2\phi)(\lambda^2 - 1)\exp[k_2 \cos^4 \phi(\lambda^2 - 1)^2],$$

while for constrained biaxial loading when $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_2 = \lambda$, we have $I_4 - 1 = (\lambda^2 - 1)\sin^2 \phi$, and the stresses (41) become

$$S_1 = c(1 - \lambda^{-2}) + k_1 \sin^2(2\phi)(\lambda^2 - 1)\exp[k_2 \sin^4 \phi(\lambda^2 - 1)^2],$$
$$S_2 = c(1 - \lambda^{-4}) + 4k_1 \sin^4 \phi(\lambda^2 - 1)\exp[k_2 \sin^4 \phi(\lambda^2 - 1)^2].$$

From relations (45) and (46) we see that isotropic parts of stress are not bigger than the material constant $c$, while the anisotropic part of stress is a function of $\cos^4 \phi$ in the first case and of $\sin^4 \phi$ in the second case of loading. According to this, the anisotropic parts of stresses for constrained biaxial tension are of the same order of magnitude only if fiber angle is close to $45^\circ$. For example, for $\lambda = 2$ and $k_2 = 1$ (common value in (Holzapfel et al. 2000) and (Holzapfel et al. 2004) is $k_2 \leq 1$), and for $\phi = 60^\circ$ or $\phi = 30^\circ$, anisotropic part of stresses is about 270 times larger in the case 1 than in case 2 of constrained biaxial loading. When $\phi = 75^\circ$ or $\phi = 15^\circ$, this ratio is about 34000. This leads to a conclusion that structural model (37) – (39) might predict unrealistic ratio of anisotropy for constrained biaxial loading.

Next, consider data given in (Holzapfel et al. 2004) where 18 sets of material constants for media and adventitia are determined using Fung’s 18 sets for the model (31) (Choung and Fung 1983). Five of these 18 sets for the model (37) – (39), experiments denoted as: 72, 78:1, 78:2, 82 and 82:2, for both media and adventitia have the constant $c = 0$, hence the uniaxial loading conditions cannot be modeled (see the above discussion about the finding that there must be a negative isotropic part of stress for proper modeling of uniaxial loading).

If one perform an analysis of simple planar uniaxial tests for the rest of material sets, he can find that activation of the fibers starts from the beginning of uniaxial loading for both principal directions of adventitia in all cases, except for experiment denoted as 71; and for all sets for the media when the loading is in direction 1 and in two cases (experiment 71:1 and 81:1) when the loading is in direction 2.
In Fig. 5 is shown the dependence of lateral stretch on the stretch in the loading direction, for simple uniaxial tension and material data for adventitia of a rabbit carotid artery (Holzapfel et al. 2004). Figure 5a corresponds to the experiment denoted as 71 (\(c = 0.7662\) [kPa], \(k_1 = 0.8255\) [kPa], \(k_2 = 1.0301\) and \(\varphi = 65^\circ\)), while Fig. 5b is for experiment 71:2 (\(c = 0.9190\) [kPa], \(k_1 = 1.2061\) [kPa], \(k_2 = 1.2368\) and \(\varphi = 49^\circ\)).

![Dependence of lateral stretches on the stretch in the loading direction (simple uniaxial tension), according to structural SEF introduced in (Holzapfel et al. 2000). Data for adventitia of a rabbit carotid artery (Holzapfel et al. 2004); a) Material parameters for experiment denoted as 71 (\(\varphi = 65^\circ\)); b) Material parameters for experiment 71:2 (\(\varphi = 49^\circ\)). The dashed lines represent the isotropic relationships.](image)

The calculated strain paths are close to the experimental ones in (Holzapfel 2006) (not shown here) only when the loading is in direction 1 for experiment denoted as 71, where the isotropic response occurs first until the stretch is \(\lambda_1 = \lambda_1^{\text{iso}} = 1.7\). As we discussed above, the point at which straining of fibers starts during simple tension for structural model (37) – (39) is determined only by the value of fiber angle \(\varphi\). On the other hand, the fibers are always stretched in the physiological strain domain for biaxial state of deformation, when \(\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 1\).

Finally, in Fig. 6 are shown dependences of the stresses during simple uniaxial tension, equibiaxial and constrained biaxial tension, for material parameters of adventitia of rabbit, for experiment denoted as 71 in (Holzapfel et al. 2004). As can be seen, the magnitude of stress is very low during simple tension (in direction 1 below 2 [kPa] even at stretch \(\lambda = 1.8\)) and for constrained biaxial tension when \(E_2 = 0\), i.e. \(\lambda_2 = 1\) (case c in Fig. 6).
Fig. 6. Dependence of stresses according to structural SEF introduced in (Holzapfel et al. 2000).
Material data for adventitia of a rabbit carotid artery for experiment denoted as 71 (Holzapfel et al. 2004); a) Simple uniaxial tension; b) Equibiaxial tension c) Constrained biaxial tension with $\lambda_2 = 1$; d) Constrained biaxial tension with $\lambda_1 = 1$.

For the constrained biaxial loading, the isotropic part of stress (as mentioned above) is always lower than the value of material constant $c$. In this case, for experiment 71 in Holzapfel et al. (2004), value of $c$ is $c = 0.7662$ [kPa], which means that isotropic part of stress is practically negligible. The fiber angle was found during fitting process in (Holzapfel et al. 2004) to be $\varphi = 65$ [o]. Then, in the case of constrained biaxial tension in direction 1, when $\lambda_2 = 1$, the anisotropic parts of stresses in (45) are about 100 times smaller than the stresses (46) for the loading in direction 2. Obviously, this stress ratio is unrealistic.

By detailed inspection of the remaining 13 sets of material constant for media and adventitia given in (Holzapfel et al. 2004), we have found that there is no unrealistic prediction of stresses during simple tension and constrained biaxial tension only when the fitted value of fiber angle is close to 45 ['']. Note that in 6 cases for adventitia it was found $\varphi = 45$ [''], which means that the tissue has the isotropic mechanical response.
5. Summary

A representative selection of two and three-dimensional anisotropic strain energy functions (SEFs) in common use in arterial mechanics has been investigated in this paper with respect to the mechanical response of tissue strips under planar uniaxial tension and constrained biaxial tension (strain behavior by Humphrey). The goal of this simple study was to provide information which might be useful in a process of fitting material parameters for considered models, and in the evaluation of some alternative forms of strain energy function for arterial wall.

The two-dimensional SEFs were analyzed for the following models: exponential model (Fung et al. 1972), logarithmic model (Takamizawa and Hayashi 1987), exponential model (Choi and Vito 1990), and polynomial model (Vaishnav et al. 1972).

Convexity conditions for two-dimensional exponential Fung’s and logarithmic SEF were derived in (Holzapfel et al. 2000). Also, in (Humphrey 1999) it was emphasized that all material constants must be positive in order to have tensile stresses under constrained biaxial tension. As we showed here, the convexity conditions for these models are equivalent to the conditions that a tensional stress develops and the lateral strain is decreasing under simple tension. Also, these SEFs predict unrealistic small or even negligible contraction in lateral direction during simple tension when the ratio of material constants $a_3 / a_2$ is large. For example, when $a_3 / a_2 = 25$ for a strain of 75% in loading direction these two SEFs predict a contraction of only 3.5% in lateral direction; while for $a_3 / a_2$ about 100, the lateral contraction is below 1%. By inspection of material constants given in (Fung et al. 1979, Choung and Fung 1983, Takamizawa and Hayashi 1987), we found that in a significant number of cases the non-convex sets of material parameters were fitted because an unconstrained optimization was performed during the fitting processes. Hence, these two models cannot describe planar simple tension and/or constrained biaxial tension. Among the rest of material sets, there are cases when the prediction of lateral contraction under simple tension is unrealistic.

For the exponential model introduced in (Choi and Vito 1990), it is not possible to find an explicit expression for the extensional paths during simple tension, but this model always predicts unrealistic material response through the increase of lateral dimension. For the fitted material parameters from (Choi and Vito 1990), and (Vande Geest et al. 2006), we found that for all material sets the critical strains at which decreasing of lateral dimension starts is in the range of strains recorded in biaxial experiments.

Because of its cubic nature, the polynomial SEF with seven material parameters (Vaishnav et al. 1972) is not convex for any set of values of the material constants (Holzapfel et al. 2000). This character has a direct implication to adequate modeling of uniaxial loading. Since it is not possible to find the conditions for uniaxial loading in an analytical form we numerically investigated these conditions and found that all 27 sets of material constants in (Fung et al. 1979), and 3 sets in (Vaishnav et al. 1972) do not provide modeling uniaxial conditions. Also we found that all materials constants should to be positive in order to have tensional stresses during constrained biaxial tension according to (Humphrey 1999).

The three-dimensional forms of the SEF considered here include: phenomenological exponential model (Choung and Fung 1983), and the so-called structural exponential model for the artery layers (Holzapfel et al. 2000).

For Fung’s three-dimensional model it was recommended in (Humphrey 1999) every set of material parameters should numerically be tested with respect to stresses under the constrained biaxial tension. We showed here that these tests should include simple tension since, in general, there can be cases when extensional paths cannot be modeled, or the solution is out of the
acceptable range of stretches (0,1). Also, the lateral stretch can be non-monotonic or increasing during simple tension, or the predicted stresses are not tensional. We used material sets of (Choung and Fung 1983) to illustrate these unphysical predictions.

For the structural exponential model (Holzapfel et al. 2000), it was investigated the conditions when materials response turn from isotropic to anisotropic under uniaxial and biaxial tension. It was showed here that extension of tissue fibers in the isotropic hyperelastic matrix always occurs under biaxial loading when $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 1$. However, the point of the fibers activation under uniaxial tension depends on the angle of fibers only, rather than on material constants (Holzapfel et al. 2000). We showed that the structural model for artery layers can predict the extensional path in agreement with experiments in (Holzapfel 2006) for limited range of fiber angles only, i.e. for loading in direction 1 for $\varphi > 54.7^\circ$ and for $\varphi < 35.3^\circ$ when simple tension is in direction 2. It is also showed that in the case of constrained biaxial tension, the anisotropic part of stress is the function of $\cos^4 \varphi$ in case 1, and $\sin^4 \varphi$ when loading is in direction 2. Hence, when fiber angle is not close to 45° the model might predicts unrealistic magnitude of stresses for constrained biaxial loading. For example, for experiment 71 in (Holzapfel et al. 2004) $\varphi = 65^\circ$, the anisotropic parts of stresses in the case of constrained biaxial tension in direction 1 are about 100 times smaller than the stresses for the loading in direction 2, which might be unrealistic. By detailed inspection of material parameters from (Holzapfel et al. 2004), where 18 sets of material constants for media and adventitia were determined, we found that five of these sets for both media and adventitia have the constant $c = 0$, hence the uniaxial loading conditions cannot be modeled.

The presented analysis suggests that in experimental investigations, in order to establish a new computational model or to fit the constants for a selected model, uniaxial loading conditions should be considered together with constrained biaxial tension, in order to avoid inadequate model prediction of material response.
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Резиме

У овом раду је истраживан механички одзив исечака ткива при раванском једноосном и ограниченом биаксијалном затезању (деформационо понашање према Хамфрију (Humprey)) за репрезентативни избор дво- и тро-димензионалне функције деформационе енергије, уобичајено коришћене у механици артерија: Фангови (Fung) 2D и 3D модели, логаритамски, полиномијални и експоненцијални Чои и Вито (Choi и Vito) 2D модели, и структурални експоненцијални 3D модели за слојеве артерије. Показано је да сви ови модели имају ограничења у могућности описивања посматраних стања деформације. Коришћењем параметара из литературе утврђено је да постоји значајан број случајева где се може предвидети нерелални одзив материјала, ако су параметри ван опсега у коме је изведен процес фитовања. Да би се избегла нестабилност израчунатог одзива материјала, сугерирамо да треба да се размотре једноосни услови оптерећења, заједно са ограниченим биаксијалним затезањем, у експерименталним истраживањима при увођењу новог материјалног модела, или при фитовању константи изабраног модела.

Кључне речи: биаксијално тестирање, артеријски зид, конститутивно моделирање, коначне деформације, функција енергије деформације
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